Cell Performance Signatures during COTS Battery Cycling

Albert H. Zimmerman The Aerospace Corporation

> 25 April 2023 Space Power Workshop

Note: Pictures, Graphs and Diagrams shown on the briefing charts are notional items, not exact.

© 2023 The Aerospace Corporation

Commercial Off-the-Shelf (COTS) Li-Ion Cells for Space Batteries

- COTS cell use has become widespread in space batteries
 - Often in CubeSats or SmallSats where life expectations are low
- Failure modes, wear-out trends, and statistics determine battery reliability and life
- What are the failure modes for various types of COTS batteries?
- Do COTS batteries differ from batteries made with space-type cells?
 - Space-type cells show typical wear-out statistical distributions as they age
- We have cycled batteries made from six different types of 18650 COTS cells commonly used in space systems
 - All six types of cells in 25-30% DOD LEO profiles
 - Two types of cells in 38-42% DOD accelerated GEO profiles
 - One type of cell in 60% DOD accelerated GEO profiles
- A range of observed degradation signatures will be shown
- Conclusions

Battery Design, Cell Matching and Management

- Batteries consist of 8-cells strings, with one to three strings in parallel
- Cells for each battery precisely balanced and matched at beginning-oflife based on capacity, resistance, and self-discharge rates
- No cell balancing once cycling was begun
- All tests run with battery on a 20°C baseplate
 - Temperature gradients in a battery typically less than 5°C during cycling
- Peak recharge voltages varied from 4.0 to 4.2 volts per cell
- Cells typically saw 1-2 years of storage life prior to cycling
 - Storage was typically at 30-50% state of charge
- LEO cycling profile of 15 cycles per day (0.29-0.33C peak charge rate)
- GEO 45-day eclipse season profile with one cycle per day (72-minute maximum eclipse duration, C/20 peak charge rate)
 - Accelerated by shortening solstice period to 2 days
 - Capacity discharges between eclipse seasons

LEO Degradation at Low Recharge Voltages

• Type B 8S1P battery at 29% DOD, started at 4.0 V/cell, increased in stages to 4.15 V/cell, failed after 5,700 LEO cycles



Did cells degrade faster and fail because we raised the peak charge voltage to 4.15V?

LEO Performance at Higher Recharge Voltages

• Type B 8S1P battery at 27% DOD, started at 4.15 V/cell, stable performance for nearly 28,000 LEO cycles (over 5 years and continuing)



LEO Performance at Higher Recharge Voltages

• Type A 8S1P battery at 25% DOD, started at 4.15 V/cell, increased to 4.2 V/cell due to low voltage in two cells. Nearly made a 5-year life.



Possible defects in two cells. One with higher capacity loss, other with higher selfdischarge.

Degradation in GEO with High Recharge Voltages

• Type C 24-cell 8S3P battery at 38% max GEO DOD, 4.2 V/cell max charge voltage, failed from capacity loss in lowest cell after 2.3 years



All cells show accelerated capacity loss after ~1.5 years

State of Charge Imbalance (high self-discharge)

• Self-discharge caused low end-of-charge voltage divergence for cell 11 in Type C 8S1P battery in LEO cycling at 25% DOD



Imbalance Fluctuations

- May be caused by parasitic shorts from Li metal plating
- Tends to go away after the affected cell voltage drops sufficiently ٠



9

Anomalous Capacity Loss or Resistance Increase

 Type B 8S1P battery at 29% DOD, anomalous capacity loss in one cell started after ~8,000 LEO cycles



Possible latent defect in the one cell. Undetectable early in life!

Conclusions

- Cycle life of COTS batteries can be sensitive to charge voltage level, either too high or too low can reduce life significantly.
- Lower DOD can mean more time spent at peak voltage level.
 - Can result in increased degradation rates
 - Particularly for GEO, where more time spent near full charge
- Cell charge imbalance can reduce life, particularly at the highest voltages where Li plating may be more likely.
- Cell resistance increase is typically associated with capacity loss, which is the most frequently observed life limiting factor.
- COTS battery performance is often controlled by only one or two outof-family cells.
 - The defects causing out-of-family behavior are not readily detected near beginning of life through characterization and matching.
- Optimizing the life of COTS batteries can be challenging.
 - Testing and appropriate design redundancy recommended to get needed life